EMPLOYMENT DISPUTE RESOLUTION PLAN FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

United States District Court United States Bankruptcy Court United States Probation Office

I. INTRODUCTION

The Federal Judiciary is committed to a workplace of respect, civility, fairness, tolerance, and dignity, free of discrimination and harassment. These values are essential to the Judiciary, which holds its Judges and Employees to the highest standards. All Judges and Employees are expected to treat each other accordingly.

This Plan ("EDR Plan" or "Plan") provides options for the reporting and resolution of allegations of wrongful conduct (discrimination, sexual, racial, or other discriminatory harassment, abusive conduct, and retaliation) in the workplace. Every Employee is strongly encouraged to immediately address a conduct issue with a supervisor. Early action is the best way to maintain a safe work environment. All Judges, Employing Offices, and Employees have a responsibility to promote workplace civility, to prevent harassment or abusive conduct, and to take appropriate action upon receipt of reliable information indicating a likelihood of wrongful conduct under this Plan. See Code of Conduct for Judicial Employees, Canon 3(C).

This Plan applies to all Judges, current and former Employees (including all law clerks; chambers employees; paid and unpaid interns, externs, and other volunteers; and probation and pretrial services employees), and applicants for employment who have been interviewed. The following persons cannot seek relief under this Plan: Judges, applicants for judicial appointment, Criminal Justice Act panel attorneys and applicants, investigators and service providers, community defender employees, volunteer mediators, and any other non-Employees not specified above. *See* Appendix 1 for full definitions of Judges and Employees.

II. WRONGFUL CONDUCT

- **A.** This Plan prohibits wrongful conduct that occurs during the period of employment or the interview process (for an applicant). Every Employee is strongly encouraged to immediately address wrongful conduct with a supervisor. Wrongful conduct includes:
 - discrimination;
 - sexual, racial, and other discriminatory harassment;
 - abusive conduct; and

• retaliation (including retaliation as described in the Whistleblower Protection Provision in *Guide to Judiciary Policy*, Vol. 12, § 220.10.20(c)).

Wrongful conduct can be verbal or non-verbal, physical or non-physical. Wrongful conduct also includes conduct that would violate the following employment laws and policy, as applied to the Judiciary by Judicial Conference policy:

- Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967;
- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973;
- Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993;
- Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994;
- Whistleblower Protection Provision (<u>Guide, Vol. 12, § 220.10.20(c)</u>);
- Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act;
- Occupational Safety and Health Act; and
- The Employee Polygraph Protection Act of 1988.

See Guide, Vol. 12, Ch. 2.

- **B. Discrimination** is an adverse employment action that materially affects the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment (such as hiring, firing, failing to promote, or a significant change in benefits) based on the following Protected Categories: race, color, sex, gender, gender identity or expression, pregnancy, sexual orientation, religion, national origin, age (40 years and over)¹, disability, veteran status, or genetic information.
- C. Discriminatory Harassment is unwelcome conduct that is based on a Protected Category that is subjectively and objectively offensive and has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with or disrupting an individual's work performance or creating an abusive, hostile, or intimidating work environment. Discriminatory harassment can be physical, verbal, and/or nonverbal, and need not rise to the level of severe or pervasive conduct. Discriminatory harassment includes sexual harassment.

Examples of conduct that may give rise to discriminatory harassment: epithets, threats, or slurs; derogatory comments about a person's race, gender identity, ethnicity, culture, or foreign accent; jokes about a person's age, disability, or sexual orientation; or drawings, cartoons, or other behavior that is insulting or derogatory of persons based on their protected status.

Examples of conduct that may give rise to sexual harassment: suggestive or obscene

¹ The age discrimination provision does not apply to hiring, retirement, or separation of probation and pretrial services officers under 5 U.S.C. chapters 83 and 84.

notes, emails, text messages, comments, gestures, or other types of communications; sexually degrading comments; display of sexually suggestive objects or images; unwelcome or inappropriate touching or physical contact; unwelcome sexual advances or propositions; inappropriate remarks of a sexual nature or about physical appearance; repeated sexual jokes, flirtations, advances or propositions, or discussions of sexual activity (whether in conversation or through electronic or other means); promotion, retention or other employment actions (positive or negative) affected by an individual's submission to, or rejection of, sexual advances; or favoritism based on submission (consensual or nonconsensual) to sexual advances.

D. Abusive Conduct is a pattern of demonstrably egregious and hostile conduct not based on a Protected Category that unreasonably interferes with an Employee's work and creates an abusive working environment. Abusive conduct includes conduct that is threatening, oppressive, bullying, or intimidating.

Abusive conduct does not include communications and actions reasonably related to performance management, including but not limited to: instruction, corrective criticism, and evaluation; performance improvement plans; duty assignments and changes to duty assignments; office organization; progressive discipline; and adverse action.

According to the EDR Interpretive Guide & Handbook, these are factors that might be considered:

- Is the alleged behavior "egregious?" In other words, is it obviously wrong or beyond reasonable behavior?
- Has the alleged violator behaved this way on one or more occasions or toward more than one person? Is there a "pattern?" Does the behavior happen repeatedly or persistently?
- Is it offensive, intimidating, malicious or insulting? Is it an abuse or misuse of power that undermines, humiliates, or denigrates another?
- Has it affected the Employee's work? Has it affected their job productivity, performance, attendance or focus? Is the Employee experiencing anxiety, stress or other symptoms related to the behavior?
- **E. Retaliation** is a materially adverse action taken against an Employee for reporting wrongful conduct; for assisting in the defense of rights protected by this Plan; or for opposing wrongful conduct. Retaliation against a person who reveals or reports wrongful conduct is itself wrongful conduct.

III. REPORTING WRONGFUL CONDUCT

The Judiciary encourages early reporting and action on wrongful conduct. Employees who experience, observe, or learn of reliable evidence of sexual, racial, or other discriminatory

harassment or abusive conduct are strongly encouraged to take appropriate action as soon as possible, including reporting it to a supervisor, human resources professional, Unit Executive, Employment Dispute Resolution ("EDR") Coordinator, Chief Judge, Chief Circuit Judge, Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, or to the national Office of Judicial Integrity. See Code of Conduct for Judicial Employees, Canon 3(C). Employees are also encouraged to report wrongful conduct in the workplace by non-Employees. Court and chambers' confidentiality requirements do not prevent any Employee—including law clerks—from revealing or reporting wrongful conduct by any person.

If a non-Employee (including, but not limited to, a person who works for an outside agency such as the United States Marshals Service (including Court Security Officers), United States Attorney's Office, General Services Administration, or local law enforcement) is alleged to have committed wrongful conduct, the Chief Judge or court unit executive should confidentially report the allegation to the head of the agency and request an internal investigation to be followed within a reasonable time by a final report of the outcome of the investigation to the Chief Judge or court unit executive.

IV. OPTIONS FOR RESOLUTION

The Judiciary's goal is to address wrongful conduct as soon as possible and to provide multiple, flexible options for doing so. Every Employee is strongly encouraged to immediately address a conduct issue directly with the person who allegedly committed the wrongful conduct or to contact a colleague, supervisor, Unit Executive, Judge, Chief Judge, or other individual to discuss or address the situation. This Plan provides the following additional options:

- **A. Plan Options.** This Plan provides three options to address wrongful conduct, as explained in detail below:
 - 1. Informal Advice (No written submission is required to seek advice. Includes listening to the Employee's concerns, understanding the relief or remedy they seek, and providing information that helps the Employee assess their rights and options to address the issue.)
 - 2. Assisted Resolution (Allows an Employee to attempt to resolve their wrongful conduct concern with the assistance of the EDR Coordinator or Circuit Director of Workplace Relations. It is an interactive and flexible process facilitated by an EDR Coordinator or Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, but the request for assistance is assessed and addressed by the Unit Executive or the Chief Judge, depending on the circumstances.)
 - 3. Formal Complaint (Allows an Employee to have a Judge determine whether their rights under the EDR Plan were violated and, if so, the appropriate remedy. The issue in an EDR Complaint is whether the Employing Office is responsible for the alleged

conduct; it is not an action against any individual. The Presiding Judicial Officer lacks authority to impose disciplinary or similar action against an individual. A Complaint must be filed within **180** days of the alleged wrongful conduct or within **180** days of the time the Employee became aware or reasonably should have become aware of the wrongful conduct. Use of the Assisted Resolution option tolls (extends) this 180-day deadline until the Assisted Resolution process concludes. The chief judge of the relevant court may extend the 180-day deadline for good cause. But in no event shall the deadline exceed 300 days from the date of the alleged wrongful conduct or the time the Employee becomes aware or reasonably should have become aware of such wrongful conduct.)

Note: Prior to filing a complaint specifically alleging abusive conduct, Employees must first utilize the option for Assisted Resolution.

- **B.** General Rights. All options for resolution are intended to respect the privacy of all involved to the greatest extent possible, and to protect the fairness and thoroughness of the process by which allegations of wrongful conduct are initiated, investigated, and ultimately resolved.
 - 1. Confidentiality. All individuals involved in the processes under this Plan must protect the confidentiality of the allegations of wrongful conduct. Information will be shared only to the extent necessary and only with those whose involvement is necessary to address the situation. An assurance of confidentiality must yield when there is reliable information of wrongful conduct that threatens the safety or security of any person or that is serious or egregious such that it threatens the integrity of the Judiciary.

Confidentiality obligations in the Code of Conduct for Judicial Employees concerning use or disclosure of confidential information received in the course of official duties do not prevent nor should they discourage Employees from reporting or disclosing wrongful conduct, including sexual, racial, or other forms of discriminatory harassment by a Judge, supervisor, or other person.

Supervisors, Unit Executives, and Judges must take appropriate action when they learn of reliable information of wrongful conduct, such as sexual, racial, or other discriminatory harassment, which may include informing the appropriate Chief Judge.

2. Impartiality. All investigations, hearings, and other processes under this Plan must be conducted in a thorough, fair, and impartial manner. The EDR Coordinator, the Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, and the Presiding Judicial Officer must be impartial and

may not act as an advocate for either Party. The EDR Coordinator, Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, or Presiding Judicial Officer must recuse if they participated in, witnessed, or was otherwise involved with the conduct or employment action giving rise to the claim. Recusal of these individuals is also required if the matter creates an actual conflict or the appearance of a conflict.

- 3. Right to Representation. Both the Employee and the Employing Office responsible for providing any remedy have the right to be represented by an attorney or other person of their choice at their own expense. Another Employee may assist the Employee or Employing Office if doing so will not constitute a conflict of interest or unduly interfere with the Employee's duties, as determined by the assisting Employee's appointing officer.
- 4. Interim Relief. An Employee, including a law clerk or other chambers employee, who pursues any of the options under this Plan may request transfer, an alternative work arrangement, or administrative leave if the Employee alleges egregious conduct by a supervisor, Unit Executive, or Judge that makes it untenable to continue working for that person. Any such request must be made to the Unit Executive or Chief Judge, as appropriate, to determine appropriate interim relief, if any, taking into consideration the impact on any Employing Office.
- 5. Allegations Regarding a Judge. An Employee alleging that a Judge has engaged in wrongful conduct may use any of the options for resolution as set forth in Section C. An Employee may also file a complaint under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364.

C. Specific Options

- 1. Informal Advice. An Employee may contact an EDR Coordinator, Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, or the national Office of Judicial Integrity for confidential advice and guidance (see § V.B.1) about a range of topics including:
 - the rights and protections afforded under this Plan, the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, and any other processes;
 - ways to respond to wrongful conduct as it is happening; and/or
 - options for addressing the conduct, such as informal resolution, participating in Assisted Resolution, or pursuing a Formal Complaint under this Plan, the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, or any other processes of.

- **2. Assisted Resolution.** Assisted Resolution is an interactive, flexible process that may include:
 - a facilitated discussion of the matter with the person whose behavior is of concern;
 - conducting a preliminary investigation, including interviewing persons alleged to have violated rights under this Plan and witnesses to the conduct;
 - engaging in voluntary mediation between the persons involved; and/or
 - resolving the matter by agreement.
 - **a.** To pursue this option, an Employee must contact an EDR Coordinator or Circuit Director of Workplace Relations and complete a "Request for Assisted Resolution" (Appendix 2). An Employee asserting any claim of abusive conduct must first use Assisted Resolution before filing a Formal Complaint. Filing a Request for Assisted Resolution tolls (extends) the time for filing a Formal Complaint under § IV.C.3, but in no event shall the deadline exceed 300 days from the date that triggers the 180-day clock as set forth in § IV.C.3.a.
 - **b.** If the allegations concern the conduct of a Judge, the Chief Judge of the appropriate district or circuit Court must be notified and will be responsible for coordinating any Assisted Resolution and/or taking any other action required or appropriate under the circumstances. See, e.g., Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.
 - c. If the allegations concern the conduct of an Employee, the EDR Coordinator or Circuit Director of Workplace Relations will coordinate Assisted Resolution and must notify the appropriate Unit Executive(s). The Unit Executive is responsible for assessing the allegation(s) and taking appropriate steps to resolve the matter. If the allegations concern the conduct of a Unit Executive, the EDR Coordinator must notify the Chief Judge, who is responsible for assessing the allegation(s) and addressing the matter as appropriate.
 - d. The Unit Executive or Chief Judge responsible for assessing the allegations, as indicated in (b) and (c) above, may deny the Request for Assisted Resolution at any time if they conclude it is frivolous; it does not allege violations of the rights or protections in this Plan; the alleged conduct arises out of the same facts and circumstances, and was resolved by, a previous EDR Complaint or other claim process or procedure; or on other appropriate grounds.
 - e. If Assisted Resolution is successful in resolving the matter, the Parties will

so acknowledge in writing.

- f. The Parties by mutual assent, or at the discretion of the EDR Coordinator or Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, will determine when to conclude the Assisted Resolution process. If Assisted Resolution is not successful in resolving the matter, the EDR Coordinator or Circuit Director of Workplace Relations will advise the Employee of the Employee's rights to file a Formal Complaint and/or pursue action under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, if applicable, or any other processes.
- **3. Filing a Formal Complaint**. An Employee may file a Formal Complaint ("Complaint") with any of the Court's EDR Coordinators to address a claim of wrongful conduct.
 - a. To file a Complaint, an Employee must submit a "Formal Complaint" (Appendix 3) to any of the Court's EDR Coordinators within 180 days of the alleged wrongful conduct or within 180 days of the time the Employee becomes aware or reasonably should have become aware of such wrongful conduct. Use of Assisted Resolution options tolls (extends) this 180-day deadline until the Assisted Resolution process(es) conclude(s). Also, the Chief Judge of the relevant court may, in writing to the potential complaining party, extend the 180-day deadline for good cause. But in no event shall the deadline exceed 300 days from the date of the alleged wrongful conduct or the time the Employee becomes aware or reasonably should have become aware of such wrongful conduct.
 - **b.** An Employee asserting any claim of abusive conduct must first use Assisted Resolution before filing a Formal Complaint.
 - c. The Employee filing the Complaint is called the Complainant. The Party responding to the Complaint is the Employing Office that is responsible for providing any appropriate remedy and is called the Respondent. The Complaint is not filed against any specific individual(s) but against the Employing Office.
 - d. Complaint Regarding a Judge. An Employee alleging that a Judge has engaged in wrongful conduct may file a Complaint under this Plan. The EDR Coordinator must immediately provide a copy of the Complaint to the Chief Circuit Judge (or the next most-senior active Circuit Judge, if the allegation is against the Chief Circuit Judge), who will oversee the EDR Complaint process. If a District, Magistrate, or Bankruptcy Judge is the subject of the Complaint, the EDR Coordinator must also provide a copy of the Complaint to the Chief District Judge (unless the Chief District Judge is the subject of the Complaint, in which case the Complaint will be given only to the Chief Circuit Judge).

If a Judge becomes the subject of both a Complaint under this Plan and a complaint under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, the Chief Circuit Judge will determine the appropriate procedure for addressing both, which may include holding the EDR claim in abeyance and determining how best to find any common issues of fact, subject to all requirements of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, and, as practicable, this EDR Plan. Regardless of whether there is a formal complaint under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, the Chief Circuit Judge should consider the need for any necessary or appropriate interim relief.

e. Formal Complaint Procedures and Procedural Rights

- i. Appointment of Presiding Judicial Officer. Upon receipt of a Complaint, the EDR Coordinator will immediately send a copy of the Complaint to the Chief Judge of the Court, who will appoint a Presiding Judicial Officer. The Presiding Judicial Officer will be a Judge in the Court or, when appropriate, a Judge from another Court (with the consent of the respective Chief Judge of that Court).
- ii. Presiding Judicial Officer. The Presiding Judicial Officer oversees the Complaint proceeding. The Presiding Judicial Officer will provide a copy of the Complaint to the head of the Employing Office against which the Complaint has been filed (Respondent), except when the Presiding Judicial Officer determines for good cause that the circumstances dictate otherwise. The Presiding Judicial Officer must provide the individual alleged to have violated rights under this Plan notice that a Complaint has been filed and the nature and substance of the Complaint allegations.

The Presiding Judicial Officer will provide for appropriate investigation and discovery, allow for settlement discussions, determine any written submissions to be provided by the Parties, determine if a hearing is needed, determine the time, date, and place of the hearing, issue a written decision, and, if warranted, order remedies.

iii. Disqualification and Replacement. Either Party may seek disqualification of the EDR Coordinator or the Presiding Judicial Officer by written request to the Chief Judge, explaining why the individual should be disqualified.

If the Presiding Judicial Officer is disqualified, the Chief Judge

will designate another Judge to serve as Presiding Judicial Officer. If the EDR Coordinator is disqualified, the Chief Judge will appoint one of the alternate EDR Coordinators or, if available, an EDR Coordinator from another Court (with the consent of the respective Chief Judge of that Court).

- iv. Response. The Respondent may file a Response to the Complaint with the EDR Coordinator within **30 days** of receiving the Complaint. The EDR Coordinator must immediately send the Response to the Presiding Judicial Officer and to the Complainant.
- v. Investigation and Discovery. The Presiding Judicial Officer will ensure that the allegations are thoroughly, impartially, and fairly investigated, and may use outside trained investigators if warranted. The investigation may include interviews with persons alleged to have violated rights under this Plan and witnesses, review of relevant records, and collecting documents or other records. The Presiding Judicial Officer will provide for such discovery to the Complainant and Respondent as is necessary and appropriate. The Presiding Judicial Officer will also determine what evidence and written arguments, if any, are necessary for a fair and complete assessment of the allegations and response.
- vi. *Case Preparation*. The Complainant may use official time to prepare the Complainant's case, so long as it does not unduly interfere with the performance of duties.
- vii. Extensions of Time. Any request for an extension of time must be in writing. The Presiding Judicial Officer may extend any of the deadlines set forth in this EDR Plan for good cause, except for the deadline to issue a written decision, which may be extended only by the Chief Judge.
- viii. *Established Precedent*. In reaching a decision, the Presiding Judicial Officer should be guided by judicial and administrative decisions under relevant rules and statutes, as appropriate. The Federal Rules of Evidence and any federal procedural rules do not apply.
 - ix. Notice of Written Decision. The EDR Coordinator or Presiding Judicial Officer will immediately send a copy of the written decision to the Parties, the Chief Judge of the Court, and to any individual alleged to have violated rights protected by this Plan. The EDR Coordinator will inform the Parties of appeal

rights, procedures, and deadlines.

- **f. Resolution of Complaint Without a Hearing.** After notifying the Parties and giving them an opportunity to respond, the Presiding Judicial Officer may resolve the matter without a hearing.
 - i. The Presiding Judicial Officer may dismiss a Complaint and issue a written decision at any time in the proceedings on the grounds that: it is untimely filed, is frivolous, fails to state a claim, or does not allege violations of the rights or protections in this Plan; the alleged conduct arises out of the same facts and circumstances, and was resolved by, a previous EDR Complaint or other claim process or procedure; or on other appropriate grounds.
 - ii. After completion of investigation and discovery, the Presiding Judicial Officer may, on the Judicial Officer's own initiative or at the request of either Party, issue a written decision if the Presiding Judicial Officer determines that no relevant facts are in dispute and that one of the Parties is entitled to a favorable decision on the undisputed facts.
 - iii. The Parties may enter into an agreed written settlement if approved in writing by the Presiding Judicial Officer and the Chief Judge.
- **g.** Resolution of Complaint With a Hearing. If the Complaint is not resolved in its entirety by dismissal, Assisted Resolution, decision without a hearing, or settlement, the Presiding Judicial Officer will order a hearing on the merits of the Complaint.
 - i. Hearing. The hearing will be held no later than 60 days after the filing of the Complaint unless the Presiding Judicial Officer extends the deadline for good cause. The Presiding Judicial Officer will determine the place and manner of the hearing.
 - ii. Notice. The Presiding Judicial Officer must provide reasonable notice of the hearing date, time, and place to the Complainant, the Respondent, and any individual(s) alleged to have violated the Complainant's rights.
 - iii. Right to Present Evidence. The Complainant and Respondent have the right to present witnesses and documentary evidence and to examine adverse witnesses.
 - iv. Record of Proceedings. A verbatim record of the hearing must be made and will be the official record of the proceeding. This may be a digital recording or a transcript.

- v. Written Decision. The Presiding Judicial Officer will make findings of fact and conclusions of law and issue a written decision no later than 60 days after the conclusion of the hearing, unless an extension for good cause is granted by the Chief Judge.
- h. Remedies. When the Presiding Judicial Officer finds that the Complainant has established by a preponderance of the evidence (more likely than not) that a substantive right protected by this Plan has been violated, the Presiding Judicial Officer may direct the Employing Office to provide remedies for the Complainant. The remedies are limited to providing relief to the Complainant, should be tailored as closely as possible to the specific violation(s) found, and take into consideration the impact on any Employing Office. The Chief Judge and Employing Office (Respondent) must take appropriate action to carry out the remedies ordered in the written decision, subject to any applicable policies or procedures.
 - vi. Allowable Remedies may include:
 - placement of the Complainant in a position previously denied;
 - placement of the Complainant in a comparable alternative position;
 - reinstatement to a position from which the Complainant was previously removed;
 - prospective promotion of the Complainant;
 - priority consideration of the Complainant for a future promotion or position;
 - back pay and associated benefits, when the statutory criteria of the Back Pay Act are satisfied;
 - records modification and/or expungement;
 - granting of family and medical leave;
 - "equitable" relief, such as temporary stays of adverse actions;
 - any reasonable accommodation(s); and
 - any other appropriate remedy to address the wrongful conduct.²

• requiring counseling or training;

² The issue in an EDR Complaint is whether the Employing Office is responsible for the alleged conduct; it is not an action against any individual. The Presiding Judicial Officer lacks authority to impose disciplinary or similar action against an individual. When there has been a finding of wrongful conduct in an EDR proceeding, an appointing official, or official with delegated authority, should separately assess whether further action, in accordance with any applicable policies and procedures, is necessary to correct and prevent wrongful conduct and promote appropriate workplace behavior, such as:

an apology to the victim;

[•] ordering no contact with the Complainant;

[•] reassigning or transferring an Employee;

[•] oral or written reprimand of the Employee who engaged in wrongful conduct;

[•] issuing a suspension, probation, or demotion of the Employee who engaged in wrongful conduct; and/or

terminating employment for the Employee who engaged in wrongful conduct.

- vii. Unavailable Remedies. Other than under the Back Pay Act, monetary damages are not available. The Presiding Judicial Officer may award attorney's fees only if the statutory requirements under the Back Pay Act are satisfied.
- i. Review of Decision (Appeal). A party aggrieved by the final decision of the Presiding Judicial Officer may appeal the decision to the Judicial Council of the Seventh Circuit by submitting in writing a Request for Review of Decision ("appeal") setting forth the grounds for appeal within 30 days of the date of the final decision. The EDR Coordinator will inform the parties of the procedures for seeking review, which are set forth in Appendix 4. The decision will be reviewed based on the record created by the Presiding Judicial Officer and affirmed if supported by substantial evidence and the proper application of legal principles.

V. COURT AND EMPLOYING OFFICE OBLIGATIONS

To ensure that Employees are aware of the options provided by this Plan, and that the Plan is effectively implemented, Courts and Employing Offices within the Seventh Circuit must adhere to the following:

- A. Adopt and Implement Plan. All Courts in the Seventh Circuit must adopt and implement a Plan based on this Plan. Courts may join with others to adopt consolidated Plans. Any modification of this Plan (1) may expand, but should not diminish or curtail, any of the rights or remedies afforded Employees under this Plan, and (2) must be approved by the judicial council of the Seventh Circuit. A copy of each Plan and any subsequent modifications must be filed with the Administrative Office.
- **B.** Records. At the conclusion of informal or formal proceedings under this Plan, all papers, files, and reports will be filed with the EDR Coordinator. No papers, files, or reports relating to an EDR matter will be filed in any Employee's personnel folder, except as necessary to implement an official personnel action.
 - Final decisions under this Plan will be made available to the public, appropriately redacted, in accordance with procedures established by the judicial council of the Seventh Circuit.
- C. EDR Coordinators. The Chief Judge of each Court will designate both a primary EDR Coordinator and at least one alternate EDR Coordinator for the Court. A Court may use an EDR Coordinator from another Court, or may use the Circuit Director of Workplace Relations as an alternate EDR Coordinator, if necessary, with the approval of the Court's Chief Judge and the Circuit's Chief Judge. An Employee may choose the EDR Coordinator with whom they wish to seek Informal Advice, request Assisted Resolution, or file a Complaint under this Plan.

An EDR Coordinator must be an Employee who is not a Unit Executive. A Judge may not be an EDR Coordinator. All EDR Coordinators must be trained and certified as set forth in the EDR Interpretive Guide and Handbook.

D. Advising Employees of their Rights. Courts and Employing Offices must:

- 1. **prominently post** on their internal and external main homepages a direct link, labeled "Your Employee Rights and How to Report Wrongful Conduct," to:
 - the entire Plan with all Appendices and relevant contact information;
 - the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, and the Judicial Conduct and Disability Complaint form; and
 - contact information for all of the Court's EDR Coordinators, Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, and the national Office of Judicial Integrity.

2. **prominently display** in the workplace:

- the posters set forth in Appendix 5; and
- an Anti-Discrimination and Harassment Notice that: (a) states that discrimination or harassment based on race, color, national origin, age (40 years and over at the time of the alleged discrimination), religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, pregnancy, veteran status, disability, or genetic information is prohibited; (b) explains that Employees can report, resolve, and seek remedies for discrimination, harassment, or other wrongful conduct under the Plan by contacting any of the Court's EDR Coordinators and/or the Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, and/or the national Office of Judicial Integrity; (c) identifies the names and contact information of all Court EDR Coordinators, the Circuit Director of Workplace Relations, and the national Office of Judicial Integrity; and (d) states where the Plan can be located on the Court's website.
- 3. ensure that each new Employee receives an electronic or paper copy of the Plan and acknowledges in writing that they have read the Plan; and
- 4. conduct training annually for all Judges and Employees, including chambers staff, to ensure that they are aware of the rights and obligations under the Plan and the options available for reporting wrongful conduct and seeking relief.
- **E. Reporting.** Courts and Employing Offices will provide annually, to the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, data on: (1) the number and types of alleged violations for which Assisted Resolution was requested, and for each

matter, whether it was resolved or was also the subject of a Complaint under the Plan or other complaint; (2) the number and type of alleged violations for which Complaints under the Plan were filed; (3) the resolution of each Complaint under the Plan (dismissed or settled prior to a decision, or decided with or without a hearing); and (4) the rights under the Plan that were found by decision to have been violated. Courts and Employing Offices should also provide any information that may be helpful in identifying the conditions that may have enabled wrongful conduct or prevented its discovery, and what precautionary or curative steps should be undertaken to prevent its recurrence.

F. Appendices Attached:

- 1. Definitions
- 2. Request for Assisted Resolution
- 3. Formal Complaint Form
- 4. Request for Review of Decision Procedures and Sample Form
- 5. Posters

This Plan supersedes all prior Model Equal Employment Opportunity and Employment Dispute Resolution Plans.

Effective date: July 12, 2022

DEFINITIONS APPENDIX 1

Circuit Director of Workplace Relations: A circuit Employee who coordinates workplace conduct issues and the implementation of all Court EDR Plans within the circuit. The Circuit Director of Workplace Relations may: provide Informal Advice and Assisted Resolution under any EDR Plan within the circuit; assist in training the EDR Coordinators within the circuit; provide or arrange for training throughout the circuit on workplace conduct, discrimination, and sexual harassment; and collect and analyze statistical data and other information relevant to workplace conduct matters.

Court: The Court (District Courts and Bankruptcy Courts) in which the Employing Office that is responsible for ordering redress, correction, or abatement of a violation of rights under this EDR Plan is located. In the case of disputes involving probation and pretrial services, "Court" refers to the appropriate District Court.

EDR Coordinator: A Court Employee, other than a Judge or Unit Executive, designated by the Chief Judge to coordinate all of the Options for Resolution provided for in this Plan. The EDR Coordinator provides confidential advice and guidance (see § V.B.1.) if an Employee seeks Informal Advice; coordinates the Assisted Resolution process, including any necessary investigation; accepts Complaints under this Plan for filing; and assists the Presiding Judicial Officer in the Complaint proceeding, as directed. The EDR Coordinator maintains and preserves all Court files pertaining to matters initiated and processed under this EDR Plan. The EDR Coordinator assists the Court in meeting its obligations under this Plan to train and advise employees of their rights under this Plan, and to post the Plan as directed. Additional information on the EDR Coordinator's responsibilities may be found in the EDR Interpretive Guide and Handbook.

Employee: All employees of a Court. This includes Unit Executives and their staffs; judicial assistants and other chambers employees; law clerks; chief probation officers and chief pretrial services officers and their respective staffs; court reporters appointed by a Court; and paid and unpaid interns, externs, and other volunteer employees.

Employing Office/Respondent: The office of the Court that is responsible for providing any appropriate remedy. The Court is the Employing Office of Judges and chambers employees.

Judge: A judge appointed under Article III of the Constitution, a United States bankruptcy judge, or a United States magistrate judge.

Office of Judicial Integrity: The office of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts staffed to provide advice and guidance to Employees nationwide about workplace conduct issues, including sexual, racial, and other discriminatory harassment, abusive conduct and other wrongful conduct. Contact information for the Office of Judicial Integrity can be found on JNet and on uscourts.gov.

Parties: The Employing Office and the Employee who has filed a request for Assisted Resolution or a Formal Complaint.

Protected Category: Race, color, sex, gender, gender identity and expression, pregnancy, sexual orientation, religion, national origin, age (40 years and over),* veteran status, disability, or genetic information.

Unit Executive: Circuit executive, district court executive, clerk of court, chief probation officer, chief pretrial services officer, bankruptcy administrator, senior staff attorney, chief pre-argument/conference attorney/circuit mediator, or circuit librarian.

^{*} The age discrimination provision does not apply to hiring, retirement, or separation of probation and pretrial services officers under 5 U.S.C. chapters 83 and 84

REQUEST FOR ASSISTED RESOLUTION APPENDIX 2

Submitted under the Procedures of the Eastern District of Wisconsin Employment Dispute Resolution Plan**

Court:
Full name of person submitting the form:
Your mailing address:
Your email address:
Your phone number(s):
Office in which you are employed or applied to:
Name and address of Employing Office from which you seek assistance (if the matter involves a judge or chambers employee, the Employing Office is the Court):
Your job title/job title applied for:
Date of interview (for interviewed applicants only):
Date(s) of alleged incident(s) for which you seek Assisted Resolution:
Detailed summary of the actions or occurrences for which you seek Assisted Resolution (attach additional pages as needed):

Names and contact information of you seek Assisted Resolution:	any witnesses to the actions or occurrences for which
Describe the assistance or corrective	
Alleged Wrongful Conduct for whi	ch you seek Assisted Resolution (check all that apply):
 □ Discrimination based on (check that apply): □ Race □ Color □ Sex □ Gender □ Pregnancy □ Sexual orientation □ Religion □ National origin □ Age □ Veteran status □ Disability □ Genetic information 	 apply): □ Race □ Color □ Sex □ Gender
 □ Abusive Conduct □ Retaliation □ Whistleblower □ Protection □ Family and Medical □ Leave 	Uniform Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Occupational Safety and Health Polygraph Protection Other (describe) Worker Adjustment and Retraining

Do you have an attorney or other person who represents you?
☐ Yes Please provide name, mailing address, email address, and phone number(s):
□ No
I acknowledge that this Request will be kept confidential to the extent possible, but information may be shared to the extent necessary and with those whose involvement is necessary to resolve this matter, as explained in the EDR Plan (see EDR Plan § V.B.1).
Your signature
Date submitted
Request for Assisted Resolution reviewed by EDR Coordinator/Circuit Director of Workplace Relations on
EDR Coordinator/Circuit Director of Workplace Relations name
EDR Coordinator/Circuit Director of Workplace Relations signature
Local Court Claim ID (Court Initials–AR–YY–Sequential Number):

FORMAL COMPLAINT FORM APPENDIX 3

Submitted under the Procedures of the Eastern District of Wisconsin Employment Dispute Resolution Plan***

Court:
Full name of person submitting the form (Complainant):
Your mailing address:
Your email address:
Your phone number(s):
Office in which you are employed or applied to:
Name and address of Employing Office from which you seek a remedy (if the matter involves a judge or chambers employee, the Employing Office is the Court):
Your job title/job title applied for:
Date of interview (for interviewed applicants only):
Date(s) of alleged incident(s) for which you seek a remedy:

Detailed summary of the actions or occurrences giving rise to the Complaint (attach

additional pages as needed):

Describe the remedy or corrective action you seek (attach additional pages as needed):
Identify, and provide contact information for, any persons who were involved in this matter, who were witnesses to the actions or occurrences, or who can provide relevant information concerning the Complaint (attach additional pages as needed):
Identify the Wrongful Conduct that you believe occurred (check all that apply):
□ Discrimination based on (check all that that apply): □ Race □ Race □ Color □ Color □ Gender □ Gender identity or expression □ Gender identity or expression □ Gender identity or expression □ Pregnancy □ Pregnancy □ Pregnancy □ Sexual orientation □ Religion □ Religion □ National origin □ National origin □ Age □ Veteran status □ Veteran status □ Veteran status □ Disability □ Disability □ Genetic information
☐ Abusive Conduct☐ I have already sought Assisted Resolution for this Abusive Conduct claim. Provide date Request for Assisted Resolution submitted and concluded, and describe the resolution, if any:
 □ Retaliation □ Uniform Services □ Whistleblower □ Protection □ Family and Medical □ Leave □ Worker Adjustment □ Occupational Safety and Health □ Polygraph Protection □ Other (describe) and Retraining

Do you have an attorney or other person who represents you?
☐ Yes Please provide name, mailing address, email address, and phone number(s):
□ No
□ I have attached copy(ies) of any documents that relate to my Complaint (such as emails, notices of discipline or termination, job application, etc.) I acknowledge that this Complaint will be kept confidential to the extent possible, but information may be shared to the extent necessary and with those whose involvement is necessary to resolve this matter, as explained in the EDR Plan (see EDR Plan § IV.B.1).
I affirm that the information provided in this Complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge:
Complainant signature
Date submitted
Complaint reviewed by EDR Coordinator on
EDR Coordinator name
EDR Coordinator signature
Local Court Claim ID (Court Initials–FC–YY–Sequential Number):

APPENDIX 4 REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF DECISION: HOW TO APPEAL A FINAL DECISION ON AN EDR COMPLAINT

The Judicial Council of the Seventh Circuit
Adopted: [DATE]
Revised: [DATE]

- 1. **Introduction.** These rules govern the procedures for requesting review of a decision on a complaint filed pursuant to the Employment Dispute Resolution Plan for the Eastern District of Wisconsin ("EDR Plan"). These rules are adopted under Section IV.C.3(i) of the EDR Plan. The definitions contained in the EDR Plan are incorporated by reference.
- 2. **Request for Review.** A party aggrieved by a final decision of the Presiding Judicial Officer may appeal that decision to the Judicial Council of the Seventh Circuit. A panel of no fewer than three members of the Judicial Council will conduct the review. The review is based on the record created before the Presiding Judicial Officer. The panel will affirm the decision of the Presiding Judicial Officer if the decision is supported by substantial evidence and the proper application of legal principles. The panel's decision is not subject to further review.
- 3. Filing an Appeal. To file an appeal, complete and submit the Request for Review of Decision (Appeal) form provided in Appendix 4. The form must set forth the grounds for the appeal and be directed to the Circuit Executive of the Seventh Circuit at the following e-mail address: ca07_circuit_executive@ca7.uscourts.gov. The appeal must be received no later than 30 days following the date of the Presiding Judicial Officer's final decision. If the deadline occurs on a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday, the deadline shall be extended to the next business day. Absent extraordinary circumstances, an appeal received after the 30-day deadline will be rejected.
- 4. **Processing the Appeal.** Upon receipt of a timely appeal submitted on the form provided in Appendix 4, the Circuit Executive will acknowledge receipt of the appeal and forward a copy of the appeal to the other party, the Presiding Judicial Officer, and the EDR Coordinator of the employing court. Upon receipt of the appeal, the EDR Coordinator will inform both parties of the procedures for seeking review and will submit the complete record of the EDR proceeding to the Circuit Executive. The Circuit Executive will forward the complete record, along with the appeal, to the Chief Judge of the Seventh Circuit.
- 5. **Review Panel.** Upon receipt of the record and the appeal, the Chief Judge of the Seventh Circuit will appoint a panel comprised of at least three members of the Judicial Council to review the Presiding Judicial Officer's final decision. If a member of the panel is disqualified, the Chief Judge of the Seventh Circuit will designate a replacement. The Circuit Executive will forward the complete record to the designated panel, which will then set a briefing schedule if appropriate. The panel shall be empowered to act for and on behalf of the Judicial Council.
- 6. **Scope of Record on Appeal.** Only claims presented to the Presiding Judicial Officer will be considered on appeal, and evidence that was not before the Presiding Judicial Officer will not be considered unless the panel requests additional evidence or documentation from either party.
- 7. Standard of Review. The decision will be affirmed if supported by substantial evidence and the

proper application of legal principles. "Substantial evidence" is relevant evidence that a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion.

- 8. **Decision on Appeal.** The panel will endeavor to issue a written decision within 60 days of receiving the record or the end of briefing, whichever is later. In the event additional time is needed for review, the panel or its designee will so notify the parties. Once the panel issues its decision, the Circuit Executive will promptly distribute a copy of the panel's written decision to both parties, the Chief Judge of the Seventh Circuit, the Presiding Judicial Officer, and the EDR Coordinator of the employing court. Upon written request to the Director of Workplace Relations, the decision will be made available free of charge, appropriately redacted, and in accordance with the circuit's procedures for the release of final decisions.
- 9. **Finality.** The panel's decision on an appeal is final and conclusive and not subject to further review by the Judicial Council or otherwise.

ATTACH APPEAL FORM

Submitted under the Procedures of the Eastern District of Wisconsin Employment Dispute Resolution Plan ****

Name of Requesting Party
Address
Phone Number(s)
Email Address
Name of Court in Which Presiding Judicial Officer's Decision Was Issued
, Requesting Party v, Responding Party
Request for Review of Decision on Formal Complaint
Notice is hereby given that
☐ Attached to this request is a copy of the Presiding Judicial Officer's decision.
State the reason(s) you contend that the Presiding Judicial Officer's decision was in error (attach additional pages if necessary):
Submitted thisday of
Signature of Requesting Party
Signature of Counsel, if any
Approved by the Seventh Circuit Judicial Council on